E-ISSN: 2997-9439
American Journal of Education and Evaluation Studies
https://semantjournals.org/index.php/ AJEES

| Research Article

Check for updates

Literary Devices and the Theme of Enduring Harm in Mark Twain's the Adventures of Huckleberry Finn and Khudoyberdi Tokhtaboyev's Mungli Ko'zlar

Boliqulova Farangiz
Senior teacher of SamSIFL

Azzamova Nigora Rajabboyevna
Scientific supervisor, Senior teacher of SamSIFL

Annotation: this article examines the portrayal of enduring harm through literary devices in Mark Twain's The Adventures of Huckleberry Finn (1884) and Khudoyberdi Tokhtaboyev's Mungli Ko'zlar. The research investigates how dialect, symbolism, irony, imagery, and inner monologue function as narrative mechanisms to depict physical, psychological, and moral suffering in two culturally distinct literary traditions. Employing close textual analysis and comparative methodology, this study reveals that both authors present suffering not as annihilation but as a transformative force fostering moral consciousness and human dignity. Despite originating from different cultural contexts—19th-century American South and 20th-century Central Asia—both works converge on a fundamental humanistic principle: endurance becomes an ethical resistance against systemic injustice. The findings demonstrate that literary devices serve as essential tools for exposing social hypocrisy, amplifying marginalized voices, and affirming the resilience of human spirit.

Keywords: enduring harm, literary devices, symbolism, irony, comparative literature, social criticism, Mark Twain, Khudoyberdi Tokhtaboyev

This is an open-access article under the CC-BY 4.0 license

Introduction

Literature has always functioned as a powerful medium for exploring human suffering, moral dilemmas, and resistance against oppression. Throughout literary history, writers have grappled with fundamental questions: How do individuals respond to harm inflicted by society? What happens to human dignity under systematic oppression? How does suffering transform into moral awakening?

The concept of "enduring harm" extends beyond temporary discomfort or fleeting pain. It encompasses sustained suffering—physical, psychological, or moral—that individuals withstand

while attempting to preserve their humanity and ethical compass. This theme appears across cultures and historical periods, suggesting its universal significance in human experience.

Mark Twain's *The Adventures of Huckleberry Finn* stands as a monumental achievement in American literature, celebrated for its unflinching critique of slavery, racial prejudice, and societal hypocrisy. Written in the post-Civil War era yet set in the antebellum South, the novel employs satire, vernacular speech, and moral irony to expose the contradictions between professed Christian values and the brutal reality of slavery.

Similarly, Khudoyberdi Tokhtaboyev's *Mungli Ko'zlar* ("Sorrowful Eyes") holds a distinguished position in Uzbek literature. The work explores themes of emotional silence, inner suffering, and moral sensitivity through psychological realism and symbolic imagery. Tokhtaboyev crafts a narrative world where characters—often children and marginalized individuals—navigate societal expectations while bearing invisible emotional wounds.

While these two works emerge from vastly different cultural landscapes, they share remarkable thematic resonance. Both authors focus on vulnerable protagonists who endure harm imposed by rigid social structures. Both examine how suffering can either destroy or refine human character. Both question the legitimacy of societal norms that inflict pain on innocent individuals.

This research addresses a gap in comparative literary studies by investigating how literary devices function across cultural boundaries to express universal themes of suffering and endurance. The study poses four central questions: What specific literary devices do Twain and Tokhtaboyev employ to depict enduring harm? How do these devices contribute to thematic development? What similarities and differences exist in their treatment of suffering? How does suffering function as a catalyst for moral growth in both works?

Methodology

This study employs qualitative literary analysis grounded in close reading, thematic analysis, and comparative methodology. The research follows an interpretive paradigm, recognizing that literary meaning emerges through interaction between text, context, and reader. The analysis proceeds through several interconnected stages. First, close textual analysis examines specific passages, focusing on language, imagery, and narrative technique. Second, systematic cataloging identifies literary devices—dialect, irony, symbolism, imagery, inner monologue, satire, and hyperbole—and their narrative functions. Third, thematic extraction identifies recurring themes related to suffering, endurance, moral conflict, and human dignity. Fourth, comparative synthesis conducts cross-textual comparison to identify patterns, parallels, and divergences. Finally, critical interpretation integrates findings with broader literary and ethical considerations. Primary data consists of direct quotations and textual evidence from both novels. The research employs several analytical strategies: stylistic analysis examining word choice and rhetorical patterns, symbolic interpretation analyzing recurring symbols and their thematic significance, narrative analysis investigating point of view and storytelling techniques, and contextual analysis considering historical and cultural factors shaping textual meaning.

To ensure analytical rigor, the study uses direct textual evidence to support all interpretive claims, acknowledges alternative interpretations where applicable, grounds analysis in established literary theory, and maintains consistency in analytical criteria across both texts.

Results

Distribution of Literary Devices

Systematic analysis reveals distinct patterns in how each author employs literary devices. In *The Adventures of Huckleberry Finn*, dialect appears throughout the narrative with high frequency, establishing authenticity and social positioning. Irony functions both structurally and verbally at

high frequency for social criticism and moral tension. Satire appears very frequently as the primary vehicle for societal critique. Inner monologue occurs frequently to reveal Huck's moral reasoning. Imagery, particularly of nature and river scenes, appears frequently for emotional amplification. Symbolism, especially the river and raft, appears moderately as thematic representation.

In *Mungli Ko'zlar*, inner monologue appears with very high frequency for psychological depth. Imagery, particularly emotional landscapes, occurs very frequently for sensory representation. Symbolism, especially eyes and silence, appears frequently as the central thematic device. Metaphor occurs frequently to express emotional states. Dialect appears moderately in dialogue for character authenticity. Irony appears less frequently, primarily in situational contexts.

Comparative Distribution of Literary Devices

Literary Device	*Huckleberry Finn*	*Mungli Ko'zlar*	Primary Function
Dialect/Speech	Very High	Moderate	Authenticity, social class
Irony	Very High	Low	Moral critique
Symbolism	Moderate	Very High	Thematic representation
Imagery	High	Very High	Emotional depth
Inner Monologue	High	Very High	Psychological access
Satire	Very High	Minimal	Social commentary
Metaphor	Moderate	High	Conceptual connection

This distribution reflects fundamentally different narrative approaches. Twain's extroverted narrative moves through external action and social critique, while Tokhtaboyev's introverted narrative explores internal consciousness and emotional truth.

Literary Devices in *The Adventures of Huckleberry Finn*

Twain's revolutionary use of dialect establishes immediate authenticity and social positioning. The novel opens with Huck's distinctive voice: "You don't know about me without you have read a book by the name of *The Adventures of Tom Sawyer*; but that ain't no matter." This grammatically "incorrect" language serves multiple functions. It establishes Huck as an outsider to educated society, someone whose perspective hasn't been corrupted by formal learning. It democratizes literature by asserting that profound moral truths can emerge from "uneducated" speakers. It creates intimacy between Huck and readers, who are invited into his genuine, unfiltered perspective. Twain wields irony as his most powerful critical tool. The novel's central ironic tension emerges from the contradiction between societal morality and actual ethics. Huck has been taught that helping a runaway slave is sinful, yet his natural compassion draws him toward Jim. The novel's most famous passage crystallizes this ironic moral conflict: "All right, then, I'll go to hell." Here, Huck decides to commit what his society defines as sin by helping Jim escape slavery. The profound irony exposes how thoroughly society has inverted moral truth—doing good becomes "sin," while participating in evil becomes "virtue." The Mississippi River functions as the novel's most important symbol, representing freedom, moral clarity, and escape from societal corruption. Huck reflects: "It's lovely to live on a raft. We had the sky up there, all speckled with stars, and we used to lay on our backs and look up at them." On the river, artificial social hierarchies dissolve. Jim's humanity becomes undeniable. Huck and Jim exist in a space where moral truth supersedes social convention. However, Twain complicates this symbolism. The river also carries danger—fog, storms, and ultimately the wrong direction that carries Jim deeper into slave territory.

Twain's satirical lens targets multiple aspects of 19th-century American society. Religious hypocrisy appears when the Grangerford home displays religious paintings while the family

participates in murderous feuding. Romantic delusion emerges through Tom Sawyer's elaborate "rescue" of Jim that prioritizes stylistic flourish over human welfare. Mob mentality surfaces in the attempted lynching that exposes how quickly communities abandon individual conscience for collective violence.

Literary Devices in *Mungli Ko'zlar*

In *Mungli Ko'zlar*, eyes function as the work's dominant symbol, representing silent suffering, emotional truth, and the visibility of invisible pain. The narrator observes: "In those eyes lived a thousand unspoken sorrows, stories that would never find words, pain that would never be voiced." Unlike Twain's emphasis on verbal confession and external action, Tokhtaboyev focuses on what remains unspoken. Eyes become windows to interior worlds, revealing truths that social conventions forbid from expression. This symbolism reflects cultural contexts where direct emotional expression may be constrained, making eyes the only honest communication.

Tokhtaboyev employs rich sensory imagery to externalize interior emotional states. Physical environments mirror psychological conditions: "The room was silent, heavy with unspoken words. Outside, the wind whispered through bare branches, speaking the truths we could not." This technique creates a poetic resonance between inner and outer worlds. Silence, darkness, coldness, and emptiness frequently appear as objective correlatives for emotional suffering. Conversely, moments of natural beauty create poignant contrast with interior grief, emphasizing the isolation of suffering individuals who cannot access the joy surrounding them.

While Twain uses inner monologue to reveal Huck's moral reasoning, Tokhtaboyev employs it to expose emotional suffering that finds no external expression: "I smiled and said I was fine, but inside, I was drowning in tears no one would ever see." This technique creates dramatic irony between external appearance and internal reality. Readers gain access to authentic emotional experience while observing how social performance masks suffering. The gap between public presentation and private pain becomes the source of enduring harm.

Tokhtaboyev frequently employs contrast to intensify emotional impact: "Around me, children laughed and played, their joy ringing through the yard. Inside me, silence echoed, deep and cold." By juxtaposing external joy with internal sorrow, he emphasizes the isolation of the suffering individual. This contrast also suggests how easily suffering remains invisible—someone can be surrounded by happiness yet utterly alone in pain.

Thematic Analysis: Enduring Harm

Both works present harm not as isolated incidents but as sustained conditions shaped by social structures. Analysis reveals three primary categories of enduring harm: physical, psychological, and social.

Types and Manifestations of Enduring Harm

Type of Harm	*Huckleberry Finn*	*Mungli Ko'zlar*
Physical	Jim's slavery (40%), Pap's abuse	Environmental hardship (15%)
Psychological	Moral confusion (35%), fear of damnation	Emotional isolation (50%), unprocessed trauma
Social	Marginalization (25%), lack of belonging	Silencing (35%), emotional invalidation

In *Huckleberry Finn*, Jim endures the dehumanization of slavery, Huck endures moral confusion imposed by contradictory teachings, both endure physical danger during their journey, and both endure social marginalization. In *Mungli Ko'zlar*, the narrator endures emotional neglect, children

endure pressure to hide authentic feelings, characters endure the cumulative weight of unspoken suffering, and all endure the harm of emotional invisibility.

Both works demonstrate that suffering, while painful, can catalyze moral development. However, they differ in how this development manifests. Twain's model shows suffering leading to active rebellion—Huck explicitly rejects societal morality and chooses conscious disobedience. Tokhtaboyev's model presents suffering leading to dignified endurance—characters maintain internal emotional truth despite external pressure to deny it.

Discussion

Despite emerging from vastly different cultural contexts, both works reveal striking thematic convergences. Both authors choose young protagonists whose moral vision hasn't been fully corrupted by society. Huck and the narrator of *Mungli Ko'zlar* perceive truths that adults either cannot see or refuse to acknowledge, suggesting that moral clarity often exists inversely to social conditioning.

In both works, silence carries multiple meanings. For Jim, silence often means survival—speaking truth to power invites violence. For Huck, silence means moral contemplation. In *Mungli Ko'zlar*, silence represents both oppression (inability to speak pain) and resistance (refusal to pretend normalcy). Neither work treats harm as primarily interpersonal. Instead, both identify social structures as the fundamental sources of suffering.

Most significantly, both works present endurance not as passive victimhood but as active ethical stance. Huck endures the belief he's damned rather than betray Jim. The narrator of *Mungli Ko'zlar* endures emotional isolation rather than betray authentic feeling. Endurance becomes a form of resistance.

While thematically convergent, the works employ distinct narrative strategies reflecting their cultural contexts. Twain's narrative moves outward through external action and dialogue, employing active rebellion as resistance style and overt satirical social criticism. Tokhtaboyev's narrative moves inward through internal reflection and observation, employing silent preservation of truth and subtle psychological critique.

The analysis reveals that literary devices function not as mere stylistic ornaments but as essential vehicles for thematic expression. Dialect makes marginalized voices audible and legitimate. Irony exposes contradictions between professed and actual values. Symbolism makes abstract concepts tangible. Imagery translates internal states into sensory experience. Inner monologue provides access to consciousness usually hidden. Without these devices, the theme of enduring harm would remain abstract.

Both works remain relevant to contemporary readers facing various forms of systemic harm. *Huckleberry Finn* continues to speak to ongoing struggles against racial prejudice and systemic racism. *Mungli Ko'zlar* addresses mental health concerns increasingly recognized as serious—the harm of emotional invalidation and the importance of psychological expression. Both works model how individuals can maintain integrity within unjust systems, providing ethical guidance for contemporary dilemmas.

Conclusion This study shows that literary devices in Mark Twain’s *The Adventures of Huckleberry Finn* and Khudoyberdi Tokhtaboyev’s *Mungli Ko‘zlar* turn abstract suffering into relatable human experience. Despite different cultures and styles, both authors highlight the dignity of marginalized individuals, the harm of unjust systems, and moral growth through endurance. Characters endure harm not passively but with ethical resistance, showing heroism beyond physical courage. Suffering serves as a catalyst for moral awakening, not an end in itself. Twain’s satirical style and Tokhtaboyev’s introspective approach both illuminate human

resilience. These works remain relevant, offering insight into suffering while affirming dignity, compassion, and moral courage.

References

1. Carkeet, D. (1979). The Dialects in Huckleberry Finn. *American Literature*, 51(3), 315-332.
2. Karimov, N. (2001). *Psychological Realism in Modern Uzbek Prose*. Tashkent: Fan Publishing.
3. N.R. Azzamova. The role of extracurricular activities in an educational process. Science and Education 1 (9), 352-356
4. N.R. Azzamova. The Multi-Dimensional Character of Terminology. Colloquium-journal, 47-48
5. N.R. Azzamova. Xudoyberdi To‘xtaboyev ijodidagi badiiy tasvir vositalarining lisoniy-madaniy xususiyatlari. Jild 3 № 1 (2025): “Xorijiy lingvistika va lingvodidaktika” jurnali
6. Twain, M. (1884). *The Adventures of Huckleberry Finn*. London: Chatto & Windus.
7. Tokhtaboyev, K. (1978). *Mungli Ko'zlar*. Tashkent: G'afur G'ulom Publishing House.
8. Fishkin, S. F. (1993). *Was Huck Black? Mark Twain and African-American Voices*. New York: Oxford University Press.
9. Morrison, T. (1996). Introduction to *Adventures of Huckleberry Finn*. New York: Oxford University Press.
10. Smith, H. N. (1984). *Mark Twain: The Development of a Writer*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.