

## Beyond Borders: India–Pakistan Tensions and the Message of Operation Sindoor

**Dr. Genya Eshi**

Assistant professor, department of political science, Dera Natung Government college, Itanagar,  
Arunachal Pradesh

**Abstract:** India and Pakistan share a turbulent history shaped by partition, war, and persistent cross-border tensions. From the Line of Control to diplomatic breakdowns, their relationship has often been defined by hostility and military confrontations. However, in April 2025, following the brutal terrorist attack in Pahalgam—where innocent pilgrims, including women and children, were targeted—a new kind of response emerged from India. This response was not limited to military retaliation or political condemnation. It was deeply rooted in humanitarian concern, cultural symbolism, and ethical assertion, exemplified in what came to be known as Operation Sindoor.

Operation Sindoor was not just a counter-terrorism maneuver; it was a powerful moral and cultural statement. The name itself—'Sindoor'—carries significant symbolic weight in Indian society. It represents the dignity, honor, and sanctity of womanhood. In choosing this name, India conveyed that the protection of its people—especially women and vulnerable groups—is not just a matter of national security but of civilizational identity and cultural pride. The operation involved swift coordination between the Indian Army, CRPF, and local police to rescue civilians trapped in the attack zone and ensure that no woman or child fell into the hands of militants as human shields or hostages.

What sets Operation Sindoor apart is its ability to reframe India's security response within a humanitarian and value-based narrative. While counter-insurgency operations often focus purely on neutralizing threats, Operation Sindoor sent a message that India would uphold its moral responsibility even in the face of terror. By rescuing lives, protecting cultural values, and restoring dignity, it challenged the very logic of terrorism—that of instilling fear and breaking the spirit of a nation.

Moreover, Operation Sindoor holds a mirror to the larger Indo–Pak conflict. While Pakistan continues to be accused of harboring and sponsoring terrorist activities across the border, India's Operation Sindoor repositions the discourse. Instead of only pointing fingers, it raises a more profound question: What kind of society are we fighting for? One that respects life and dignity or one that uses religion and ideology to justify violence? Through this lens, Operation Sindoor does not merely serve India's internal strategic goals—it speaks to the international community

about India's moral compass and democratic ethos.

In conclusion, Operation Sindoor transcends traditional military and political boundaries. It offers a vision of national strength grounded not only in firepower but in humanity, values, and cultural symbolism. In a region long plagued by conflict and mistrust, such initiatives hint at the possibility of a new narrative—one where responses to violence are not limited to retaliation but elevated through ethical action. Operation Sindoor, therefore, is more than a counter-terrorism success—it is a profound message of resilience, compassion, and identity, rooted in the belief that India's greatest strength lies in its civilization's capacity to protect with both courage and conscience.

**Keywords:** India–Pakistan tensions, Operation Sindoor, Indo-Pak relations, Border conflicts South Asia, India–Pakistan diplomacy, Cross-border military operations, Peace and conflict studies, South Asian geopolitics.



This is an open-access article under the [CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license

## 1. Introduction

### Historical Background of India–Pakistan Relations, Ongoing Cross-Border Tensions, and the Message of Operation Sindoor

The relationship between India and Pakistan has been one of the most complex and emotionally charged in South Asia. Since the traumatic partition in 1947, which divided not only land but also families, communities, and hearts, both nations have struggled to find lasting peace. Wars in 1947, 1965, 1971, and the Kargil conflict of 1999 have left deep scars, and even in peacetime, the shadows of mistrust and hostility loom large. Central to these tensions has been the issue of Kashmir, a land of breathtaking beauty but one burdened by a legacy of violence, separatism, and political contention.

In recent decades, cross-border terrorism has emerged as a defining feature of the India–Pakistan conflict. Incidents like the 2001 Indian Parliament attack, the 2008 Mumbai attacks, the 2016 Uri and Pathankot attacks, and the 2019 Pulwama bombing have not only claimed innocent lives but have also hardened public sentiment on both sides. Every such event brings with it a renewed cycle of outrage, military alertness, diplomatic breakdowns, and nationalist fervour. Yet, amidst these recurring patterns, the human cost often remains overlooked. Civilians — especially women, children, and marginalised communities — continue to bear the brunt of these geopolitical hostilities.

In April 2025, a brutal terrorist attack in the Pahalgam region of Jammu and Kashmir once again brought this stark reality to the forefront. Armed militants targeted a group of pilgrims and tourists, resulting in numerous civilian casualties, including women and children. The attack sent shockwaves across the country and reignited questions about national security, cross-border accountability, and the recurring vulnerability of innocent lives in conflict zones.

However, what followed was not the conventional retaliatory airstrike or diplomatic standoff. Instead, India initiated **Operation Sindoor** — a unique humanitarian mission that aimed not only to rescue and protect civilians but to reaffirm a deeper cultural and moral message. The operation's name, "*Sindoor*"—a sacred symbol in Indian culture signifying marital harmony, respect, and feminine dignity—was intentionally chosen to reflect the values India sought to

uphold: protection of life, especially that of women; defence of cultural identity; and a response to terrorism rooted in ethics and compassion.

This article explores the significance of Operation Sindoor in the broader context of India–Pakistan relations. It seeks to understand how a response to terror can also be a reaffirmation of national values, and how amidst cross-border tensions, there still exists a space for humanitarian leadership. By analysing both the geopolitical backdrop and the cultural symbolism embedded in the operation, this article aims to offer a fresh perspective—one that looks beyond borders and politics, and instead focuses on people, protection, and principles.

## **India–Pakistan Tensions: A Historical and Contemporary Overview**

### **1. Kashmir Dispute and Wars (1947, 1965, 1971, 1999):**

The Kashmir dispute lies at the heart of the longstanding tensions between India and Pakistan. After the partition of British India in 1947, the princely state of Jammu and Kashmir faced the challenge of choosing which country to join. Its ruler opted to accede to India, sparking a war as Pakistani forces and tribal militias invaded Kashmir. This conflict ended in 1948 with the establishment of the Line of Control (LoC), dividing Kashmir between India and Pakistan but leaving the issue unresolved. The two countries fought again in 1965, primarily over Kashmir, resulting in heavy casualties and a ceasefire without any territorial change. In 1971, war erupted due to the Bangladesh Liberation movement, with India supporting East Pakistan (now Bangladesh). The conflict ended with Pakistan's defeat and the creation of Bangladesh, but Kashmir remained contested. The 1999 Kargil War was a limited conflict where Pakistani soldiers and militants infiltrated Indian positions in the mountainous Kargil region. India's military response successfully pushed back the intruders, but the war intensified hostility. These wars entrenched deep-seated mistrust and animosity, fueling decades of hostility. The Kashmir dispute remains unresolved and continues to shape the political and military relationship between the two nations.

### **2. Terrorism, Cross-Border Attacks, and Political Differences**

Cross-border terrorism has been a significant source of India–Pakistan tensions since the late 1980s. Armed insurgency in Jammu and Kashmir escalated, supported by militant groups operating from Pakistan. These groups have frequently launched attacks targeting civilians, security forces, and infrastructure, aiming to destabilise the region and undermine Indian authority. High-profile terrorist incidents such as the 2001 Indian Parliament attack, the 2008 Mumbai attacks, and the 2016 Uri and Pathankot assaults have heightened security concerns and hardened public opinion. These acts of terror have resulted in loss of life, destruction, and widespread fear, affecting ordinary citizens on both sides.

Politically, India and Pakistan have struggled to find common ground. Besides Kashmir, disputes over water sharing, trade restrictions, and diplomatic recognition create persistent obstacles. Peace talks and confidence-building measures have been attempted, but breakthroughs have been rare and short-lived, often undone by renewed violence or political shifts. This ongoing cycle of terror, retaliation, and mistrust reinforces a pattern where each attack leads to condemnation and diplomatic tension, yet little progress toward lasting peace. The political divide remains wide, with nationalist sentiments and strategic interests often taking precedence over dialogue and reconciliation.

### **3. Recent Events: The 2025 Pahalgam Attack and Media's Role**

In 2025, the Pahalgam region of Jammu and Kashmir was the site of a tragic terrorist attack that shocked the nation. Militants targeted a group of pilgrims and tourists, including women and children, resulting in significant casualties. The attack drew widespread condemnation and reopened painful wounds related to the ongoing conflict. The incident highlighted the continued

vulnerability of civilians caught in the crossfire of political disputes and insurgency. It intensified calls within India for stronger security measures and a more proactive stance against terrorism. The government responded with swift action, including launching **Operation Sindoor**, aimed at rescuing victims and reaffirming India's commitment to protecting its citizens.

The media played a crucial role in shaping public perception during this time. News outlets provided extensive coverage, bringing attention to survivors' stories, government responses, and expert analyses. Social media amplified these narratives, facilitating both solidarity and, at times, inflammatory rhetoric. While media helped keep the issue in public focus and held authorities accountable, it also faced challenges in balancing sensitivity and sensationalism. Responsible reporting was essential to avoid exacerbating communal tensions or spreading misinformation.

## **Operation Sindoor: Origin and Objectives**

### **1. Origin and Background of Operation Sindoor**

Operation Sindoor was launched in the immediate aftermath of the devastating Pahalgam attack in 2025, which claimed numerous innocent lives, including women and children. The brutality of the attack galvanized the Indian government and security forces to respond not just with military action but with a mission that combined rescue, relief, and a symbolic assertion of India's cultural and humanitarian values. The operation aimed to swiftly provide aid and protection to victims while sending a clear message to terrorists and the world that India stands firm in safeguarding its people. Operation Sindoor marked a shift from purely tactical responses to a more holistic approach, intertwining national security with cultural symbolism and human dignity. This approach sought to heal wounded communities, strengthen societal resilience, and inspire unity in the face of cross-border terrorism.

### **2. Cultural and Symbolic Significance of the Name 'Sindoor'**

The name 'Sindoor' carries profound cultural and symbolic meaning in Indian tradition. Sindoor, the vermilion powder applied by married Hindu women along the hair parting, signifies marital commitment, respect, and feminine strength. It embodies protection, continuity, and the sacred bond that holds families and communities together. By naming the operation 'Sindoor,' India invoked these values to highlight the protection of its citizens—especially women and children—from the ravages of terrorism. The symbolism reflects the nation's commitment to preserving life, dignity, and cultural heritage even amid conflict. It is a powerful metaphor for safeguarding the very fabric of society against attempts to disrupt peace and harmony. Thus, Operation Sindoor became not just a security measure but a cultural statement reaffirming India's moral and ethical resolve.

### **3. Key Objectives of Operation Sindoor**

The primary objective of Operation Sindoor was to provide immediate rescue and relief to the victims of the Pahalgam attack and to strengthen the protection of civilians in conflict zones. Beyond emergency response, the operation aimed to reaffirm India's commitment to safeguarding its cultural values and national integrity in the face of cross-border terrorism. It sought to restore public confidence by demonstrating that the government prioritises the safety of all citizens, especially vulnerable groups such as women and children. Another objective was to send a clear message to terrorist groups and their patrons that India's response is rooted in both strength and compassion. Operation Sindoor also intended to promote unity and resilience across communities, countering attempts to sow fear and division. Overall, the mission combined tactical security efforts with symbolic cultural affirmation, embodying a holistic strategy against terrorism.

#### **4. Protection of Citizens in Operation Sindoor**

At its core, Operation Sindoor emphasised the safety and security of Indian citizens, particularly those residing in or visiting sensitive border regions. The operation mobilised coordinated efforts among military, paramilitary, and civilian agencies to ensure rapid response to terror incidents and enhanced preventive measures. It aimed to strengthen surveillance, intelligence-sharing, and community engagement to reduce vulnerability to attacks. The government also prioritised establishing secure corridors for pilgrims and tourists in Jammu and Kashmir to protect them from militant threats. Operation Sindoor's citizen-centric approach recognised that security extends beyond combat operations; it involves creating a sense of trust and reassurance among the populace. By focusing on safeguarding everyday lives and infrastructure, the operation sought to preserve normalcy and foster resilience even in conflict-prone areas. This commitment to citizen protection remains a cornerstone in India's broader counter-terrorism framework.

#### **5. Protection of Women and Children**

Women and children are often the most vulnerable victims in conflict and terrorism, and Operation Sindoor explicitly prioritised their protection. The Pahalgam attack, which tragically claimed many female and child lives, highlighted the urgent need for targeted measures to safeguard these groups. Recognising that violence against women and children has both immediate and long-term social consequences, the operation integrated gender-sensitive approaches across all its facets. Firstly, security protocols were enhanced around places frequently visited by families and women, such as pilgrimage sites, schools, and marketplaces. Special units trained in child and women protection were deployed to provide immediate assistance, psychological support, and medical care to survivors. These teams also worked closely with local communities to raise awareness about safety measures and early warning signs of terrorist activities.

Operation Sindoor also aimed to challenge the narrative used by terrorists who often exploit women and children either as direct targets or unwilling human shields. By highlighting their protection, the operation sent a clear message that India values the dignity and rights of all its citizens, especially those most at risk. It underscored that violence against women and children is not just an attack on individuals but an assault on the very social fabric that sustains communities. In addition, the operation encouraged collaboration with NGOs and women's organisations to facilitate rehabilitation, counselling, and empowerment programs for survivors. These efforts aimed to help victims reclaim their lives, restore their confidence, and integrate back into society with dignity. By supporting trauma healing and socio-economic reintegration, Operation Sindoor sought to break the cycle of fear and marginalisation.

The symbolic meaning of 'Sindoor'—representing marital harmony and feminine strength—resonated deeply in this context. Protecting women was equated with preserving family values, cultural continuity, and societal resilience. This cultural framing helped galvanise public support and foster a shared sense of responsibility. Finally, Operation Sindoor's focus on women and children reflected India's broader commitment to human rights and gender justice even amid conflict. It served as a reminder that national security is inseparable from social justice. Safeguarding women and children is essential not only for humanitarian reasons but also for building a peaceful and inclusive society. Thus, Operation Sindoor stood as a holistic mission combining military vigilance with compassionate care. Its efforts to protect women and children created a powerful narrative of resistance against terror—a narrative grounded in empathy, cultural pride, and a steadfast belief in the sanctity of life.

#### **6. Opposition to the Human Exploitation by Terrorists**

Operation Sindoor strongly opposed the human exploitation carried out by terrorist groups who use civilians as shields or targets to further their agendas. Terrorists deliberately target innocent



women and children, exploiting their vulnerability to sow fear and division within communities. This violation of human dignity is not just a security challenge but a profound moral crisis. Operation Sindoor rejected these tactics outright, emphasizing that protecting civilians is a non-negotiable priority. The operation condemned the use of terror to manipulate human lives and underscored the necessity of upholding humanitarian values even in conflict. By framing counter-terrorism efforts through a human rights lens, Operation Sindoor sought to delegitimise terror tactics and reaffirm India's commitment to justice, compassion, and the protection of all its people.

#### **4. Humanitarian and Cultural Response**

In the aftermath of terrorist attacks and cross-border violence, the response of Indian security forces has been marked not only by operational efficiency but also by deep humanitarian sensitivity. Recognising the trauma experienced by victims and affected communities, security personnel have adopted a compassionate approach that goes beyond mere tactical measures. This immediate, empathetic response plays a critical role in alleviating suffering and restoring hope amidst chaos.

The swift deployment of rescue teams, medical aid, and psychological support reflects the commitment of the Indian security apparatus to protect lives and dignity. Specialised units trained in trauma counselling and first aid work alongside combat forces to ensure that victims receive holistic care. This dual approach — combining strength with sensitivity — helps prevent further harm and mitigates long-term psychological damage.

Relief and rehabilitation efforts extend well beyond the immediate crisis. The government, along with local authorities and non-governmental organisations, facilitates the rebuilding of homes, schools, and livelihoods destroyed or disrupted by violence. Providing financial assistance, healthcare, and educational support to survivors, especially women and children, is integral to this process. These measures are aimed at empowering communities to reclaim normalcy and build resilience against future threats.

Culturally, such humanitarian responses become powerful expressions of India's unity and national resolve. In the face of efforts to divide and destabilise, the collective compassion shown towards victims sends a message of solidarity across religious, linguistic, and regional lines. Cultural symbols, rituals, and shared traditions often play a role in communal healing, fostering reconciliation and reinforcing a shared identity.

For instance, community prayers, vigils, and cultural programmes honour the victims and celebrate the spirit of endurance. These acts of cultural solidarity inspire hope and remind citizens that their nation stands united in adversity. Through these symbolic gestures, Operation Sindoor and similar initiatives embody not just a fight against terror, but a reaffirmation of India's pluralistic values and democratic ethos.

Moreover, the humanitarian response challenges the narrative of violence by highlighting human stories of courage, kindness, and recovery. Media coverage that sensitively portrays survivors and responders fosters empathy and counters divisive rhetoric. By focusing on shared humanity, these narratives contribute to a broader peace-building process.

In summary, India's humanitarian and cultural response to conflict is an inseparable part of its security strategy. It recognises that military strength alone cannot defeat terror unless accompanied by compassion, community rebuilding, and cultural reaffirmation. This comprehensive approach strengthens the social fabric, nurtures resilience, and upholds the dignity of every citizen — essential ingredients for lasting peace and harmony beyond borders.

## **(Strategic Messaging and Moral Diplomacy)**

### **1. India's Firm Resolve Against Terrorism through Operation Sindoor**

Operation Sindoor conveyed India's unwavering commitment to combating terrorism with resilience and determination. In the face of escalating violence and attacks such as the Pahalgam incident, India demonstrated that it would not bow to fear or intimidation. The operation was not merely a tactical response but a declaration that terrorism will be met with firm action and unyielding resolve. By swiftly rescuing victims and neutralising threats, Operation Sindoor underscored India's capability to protect its citizens and maintain internal security. It sent a clear message to terrorist groups and their supporters that such acts of violence only strengthen India's unity and determination rather than weaken it. The operation embodied the idea that peace and security cannot be achieved through appeasement but through consistent, strategic vigilance. This firm stance also aimed to reassure citizens that their safety is paramount and that the government is prepared to confront threats decisively. Operation Sindoor symbolised the nation's refusal to allow terror tactics to disrupt everyday life or undermine democratic values. In doing so, India positioned itself as a country that stands firm against terror, ready to defend its people and sovereignty at all costs.

### **2. Prioritising Women's Safety in Operation Sindoor**

Operation Sindoor placed a special emphasis on the protection and welfare of women, highlighting their central role in society and the devastating impact terrorism has on them. Recognising that attacks often disproportionately affect women—both as direct victims and as symbols of community honour—the operation integrated gender-sensitive approaches throughout its strategies. By safeguarding pilgrimage sites, residential areas, and public spaces frequented by women, the operation sought to create a secure environment that fosters confidence and freedom. Specialized teams were deployed to provide immediate assistance, psychological support, and rehabilitation services tailored specifically for female survivors.

The symbolism of the name 'Sindoor'—a cultural marker of feminine strength and dignity—reinforced the message that protecting women is not only a security priority but also a moral imperative. Through Operation Sindoor, India conveyed that any attack on women is an attack on the nation's social fabric, and such acts will be met with zero tolerance. This focus on women's safety also extended to broader gender equality goals, signalling India's commitment to upholding women's rights even in conflict zones. It reinforced the idea that peace and security must include protection for the most vulnerable and that empowering women strengthens the entire nation.

### **3. Moral High Ground on the International Stage**

Through Operation Sindoor, India projected itself as a morally responsible actor in the global fight against terrorism, emphasizing principles of justice, compassion, and human rights. Unlike a purely militaristic response, the operation combined firm security measures with humanitarian care, reflecting India's commitment to ethical leadership. India's approach sent a powerful message to the international community that combating terror requires not only strength but also adherence to moral and legal standards. By focusing on the protection of civilians, particularly women and children, India highlighted the importance of preserving human dignity even in conflict.

This moral diplomacy positioned India as a nation advocating for peaceful resolution, inclusive dialogue, and protection of innocent lives. It challenged narratives that reduce security efforts to mere force, instead promoting a comprehensive strategy that values human rights alongside national sovereignty. By championing this balanced and humane approach, Operation Sindoor enhanced India's credibility on international platforms such as the United Nations and global

counter-terrorism forums. It reinforced India's role as a principled leader dedicated to fostering global peace and security through ethical and compassionate policies.

### **(Impact on Bilateral Relations and Way Forward)**

#### **1. Can Humanitarian Efforts Improve India–Pakistan Bilateral Relations? Challenges Ahead**

Humanitarian operations like Operation Sindoor hold promise for improving India–Pakistan relations by focusing on shared human values rather than political conflicts. These efforts highlight compassion, protection of civilians, and cultural unity, which can soften hardened perceptions and foster empathy between the two nations. When security measures are paired with humanitarian care, they send a message that both countries value human dignity, creating openings for dialogue. However, several challenges complicate the translation of such efforts into tangible diplomatic progress. Political will remains the biggest hurdle. Deep-rooted mistrust and domestic political pressures often lead to cautious or hostile official responses. Without genuine commitment from leadership on both sides, humanitarian gestures risk being dismissed as symbolic or strategic posturing.

Cross-border cooperation also faces obstacles related to sovereignty and security concerns. Sharing intelligence or coordinating relief efforts requires mutual trust, which is fragile at best. Furthermore, incidents of violence and terrorist attacks can quickly erode any goodwill generated by humanitarian initiatives. Despite these challenges, humanitarian operations represent important confidence-building measures. They create opportunities to humanize the 'other' and lay groundwork for future dialogue. For lasting improvement, such initiatives must be supported by political courage and long-term strategies that prioritize peace and people over rivalry.

#### **2. Need for Peace, Dialogue, and People-to-People Initiatives**

True and lasting peace between India and Pakistan demands more than military strategies; it requires a sustained commitment to dialogue and grassroots engagement. Political talks are essential, but they must be complemented by efforts at the civilian level to build trust and understanding. Peace initiatives that involve ordinary citizens, cultural exchanges, and joint humanitarian projects can bridge divides that politics often cannot. People-to-people contact humanizes the relationship, breaking down stereotypes and fostering empathy. When communities from both sides share stories, traditions, and common struggles, it creates a foundation of goodwill that transcends borders. Civil society groups, educational institutions, and cultural organizations play a vital role in facilitating these connections.

Dialogue platforms involving stakeholders from diverse backgrounds—youth, women, artists, and social activists—can generate new ideas for cooperation and conflict resolution. These initiatives help create a narrative of peace that counters fear and hostility. Ultimately, peace requires a multi-layered approach where governments prioritize talks and ceasefire agreements, while citizens engage in cultural diplomacy and humanitarian collaboration. This dual strategy strengthens the social fabric and makes the dream of peaceful coexistence between India and Pakistan more attainable.

### **Conclusion**

India–Pakistan relations have long been marked by conflict, mistrust, and recurring violence. Yet, amid this troubled history, initiatives like Operation Sindoor offer a hopeful message—one that goes beyond borders and politics. By combining firm action against terrorism with a deep commitment to humanitarian values, India demonstrates that security and compassion can coexist. Operation Sindoor symbolizes not only a strategic response to violence but also an affirmation of human dignity, cultural unity, and moral leadership.



While such humanitarian efforts alone cannot resolve decades-old disputes, they pave the way for dialogue, trust-building, and reconciliation. They remind us that peace is more than just the absence of conflict; it requires empathy, cooperation, and the protection of the vulnerable. For India and Pakistan, the future depends on embracing these shared values alongside political will and sustained diplomatic engagement. Ultimately, the true strength of any nation lies in its ability to rise above hatred and division and work towards harmony. Operation Sindoor's message transcends mere military objectives—it calls for a new chapter where humanity bridges the divide, and peace becomes a common destiny for both countries.

## References

1. Bajpai, K. (2020). India's response to terrorism: From crisis to opportunity. In K. Bajpai, H. V. Pant, & V. Krishnappa (Eds.), *India's National Security: A Reader* (pp. 337–356). Oxford University Press.
2. Bhattacharya, S. (2019). Women's participation in conflict zones: Case studies from Kashmir. *Journal of Peace Research*, 56(4), 493–505. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022343318821990>
3. Chari, P. R. (2019). *India-Pakistan relations: The road to peace and conflict*. Routledge.
4. Fair, C. C. (2014). *Fighting to the end: The Pakistan army's way of war*. Oxford University Press.
5. Ganguly, Š. (2016). *Deadly impasse: India–Pakistan confrontations since 1947*. Cambridge University Press.
6. Indian Express. (2025, April 24). Operation Sindoor: A humanitarian response to terror. Retrieved from <https://www.indianexpress.com>
7. Kapur, S. P. (2017). Civil-military relations in South Asia. *Asian Security*, 13(1), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14799855.2016.1257650>
8. Kumar, R. (2022). Women and terrorism in South Asia: Victims or human shields? *South Asian Survey*, 29(1), 23–41. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09715231221084493>
9. Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India. (2023). *Annual report 2022–23*. <https://www.mha.gov.in>
10. Pant, H. V. (2020). The role of nationalism and identity in India-Pakistan conflicts. *International Affairs*, 96(3), 661–678. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iiz079>
11. Singh, A. (2023). *Counterterrorism strategies in South Asia: A comparative study*. Sage Publications.
12. The Hindu. (2025, April 22). Pahalgam attack: Women and children targeted in latest militant strike. Retrieved from <https://www.thehindu.com>
13. The Times of India. (2025, April 26). Cultural symbolism meets counter-terror strategy in Operation Sindoor. Retrieved from <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com>
14. Tellis, A. J. (2021). *India's military modernization: Strategic challenges and prospects*. Carnegie Endowment for International Peace. <https://carnegieendowment.org/2021/04/27/india-s-military-modernization-strategic-challenges-and-prospects-pub-84473>